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Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and
on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements
Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

The Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council
City of Simi Valley, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Simi Valley, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated February 8, 2016.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the city's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.
Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

We noted certain other matters that we reported to management of the City of Simi Valley in a separate letter dated February 8, 2016.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the city's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California
February 8, 2016
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program, on Internal Control over Compliance, and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

The Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council
City of Simi Valley, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Simi Valley, California (City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2015. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management’s Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors’ Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City’s major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City’s compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City’s compliance.
Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City’s internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City’s internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.
Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City’s basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated February 8, 2016, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditure of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Vasquez & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California
February 8, 2016
## City of Simi Valley
### Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
#### Year ended June 30, 2015

**Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title** | **Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number** | **Program Identification Number** | **Federal Expenditures**
--- | --- | --- | ---
### U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

**Direct Assistance:**
- Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants
  - 14.218 B-13-MC-06-0535 $75,500
- Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants
  - 14.218 B-14-MC-06-0535 382,083
- Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants
  - 14.218 B-15-MC-06-0535 29,941
- Passed through the State of California Department of Housing and Community Development:
  - Home Investment Partnerships Program
    - 14.239 03-HOME-0681 2,204
  - Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
    - 489,729

**U.S. Department of Justice**

**Direct Assistance:**
- Federal Asset Forfeiture Program
  - 16.922 N/A 431,405
- Passed through the County of Ventura:
  - Federal Asset Forfeiture Program
    - 16.922 N/A 8,304
    - Total U.S. Department of Justice
      - 439,709
- Passed through the City of Oxnard:
  - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program
    - 16.738 A-7674 10,020
    - Total U.S. Department of Justice
      - 449,729

**U.S. Department of Transportation**

**Direct Assistance:**
- Federal Transit Formula Grants
  - 20.507 CA-90-Z246 1,531,182
- Federal Transit Formula Grants
  - 20.507 unknown 1,024,531
  - Total U.S. Department of Transportation
    - 2,555,713
- Passed through the State of California, Department of Transportation:
  - Highway Planning & Construction
    - 20.205 CML-5405 (080) 48,423
    - Highway Planning & Construction
      - 20.205 HSPL-5405 (089) 103,920
      - Highway Planning & Construction
        - 20.205 BhLS-5405 (064) 24,737
        - Highway Planning & Construction
          - 20.205 STPL-5405 (078) 303,601
          - Highway Planning & Construction
            - 20.205 STPL-5405 (079) 884,522
            - Highway Planning & Construction
              - 20.205 STPL-5405 (081) 1,687
              - Highway Planning & Construction
                - 20.205 HPLL-5405 (042) 5,245
                - Total U.S. Department of Transportation
                  - 1,372,139
- Passed Through the State of California Office of Traffic Safety:
  - State and Community Highway Safety
    - 20.600 PT1570 851
  - Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated
    - 20.608 PT1570 2,344
  - Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated
    - 20.608 5937-12-PO 9,691
    - Total U.S. Department of Transportation
      - 12,886
- Total U.S. Department of Transportation
  - 3,940,734

**U.S. Department of Treasury**

**Direct Assistance:**
- Federal Asset Forfeiture Program
  - 21.000 N/A 1,674
  - Total U.S. Department of Treasury
    - 1,674

**U.S. Institute of Museum and Library Services**

Passed through the California State Library:
- **Library Services & Technology Act**
  - 45.310 LS-00-14-0005-14 3,874
  - Total U.S. Institute of Museum and Library Services
    - 3,874

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services**

Passed through the County of Ventura Area Agency on Aging:
- **Special Programs for the Aging, Title III, Part C Nutrition Services**
  - 93.045 3C-011-071212 127,093
  - Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
    - 127,093

**U.S. Department of Homeland Security**

Passed through the County of Ventura Sheriff's Department:
- **Emergency Management Performance Grants**
  - 97.042 2014-0070-111-00000 33,376
- **State Homeland Security Program (SISHP)**
  - 97.067 2013-00110-111-00000 10,823
- **State Homeland Security Program (SISHP)**
  - 97.067 2014-00093-111-00000 2,504
- Passed through the California Emergency Management Agency:
  - Pre-Disaster Mitigation
    - 97.047 2012-0004 26,087
    - Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security
      - 72,790

**Total expenditures of federal awards**
- $5,085,622

* Denotes major program

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Scope of Presentation
The accompanying schedule presents the activity of all federal award programs of the City of Simi Valley, California (City). For the purposes of this schedule, financial awards include federal awards received directly from a federal agency and federal funds received indirectly by the City from a nonfederal agency or other organization. Only the portions of program expenditures reimbursable with federal funds are reported in the accompanying schedule. Program expenditures in excess of the maximum reimbursement authorized or the portion of the program expenditures that were funded with other state, local or other nonfederal funds are excluded from the accompanying schedule.

Basis of Accounting
The expenditures included in the accompanying schedule were reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting, which is defined in Note 1 to the City’s basic financial statements. Expenditures reported include any property or equipment acquisitions incurred under the federal programs.

NOTE 2 SUBRECIPIENTS
During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, the City disbursed $418,775 to subrecipients, utilizing funds provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement program.

NOTE 3 RELATIONSHIP TO FEDERAL FINANCIAL REPORTS
Grant expenditure reports as of June 30, 2015, which have been submitted to grantor agencies, will, in some cases, differ from amounts disclosed herein. The reports prepared for grantor agencies are typically prepared at a later date and often reflect refined estimates of the year-end accruals.

NOTE 4 RELATIONSHIP TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
In accordance with the Governmental Accounting Standards Board’s Statement No. 61, Financial Reporting Entity and Statement No. 39, Determining Whether Certain Organizations are Component Units – an Amendment of GASB Statement No.14 activities relating to all federal financial assistance programs are blended in the City’s financial statements as special revenue funds.

NOTE 5 LOANS RECEIVABLE
Loans made with CDBG funds in the amount of $467,973, which includes interest of $74,651, and loans made with HOME funds in the amount of $1,637,689, which includes interest of $114,695, are outstanding as of June 30, 2015. During fiscal year 2014-15, there were no new loans made with CDBG or HOME funds.
Section I – Summary of Auditors’ Results

Financial Statements

Type of auditors’ report issued on the financial statements: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:
- Material weakness(es) identified? No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? None reported

Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted? No

Federal Awards

Internal control over its major programs:
- Material weakness(es) identified? No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? None reported

Type of auditors’ report issued on compliance for major programs: Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section .510(a) of OMB Circular A-133? No

Identification of Major Programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFDA Number</th>
<th>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20.205</td>
<td>Highway Planning and Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93.045</td>
<td>Special Programs for the Aging –Title III, Part C - Nutrition Services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: $300,000

Auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee: Yes
Section II – Financial Statement Findings

There were no financial statement findings noted during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.

Section III – Federal Award Findings

There were no federal award findings noted during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.
Section II – Financial Statement Findings

There were no findings noted during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014.

Section III – Federal Award Findings

There were no findings noted during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014.
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